POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

KURT L. KOMAREK and MADELEINE KOMAREK

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the Year(s) 1970.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 25th day of October , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon KURT L. KOMAREK and MADELEINE KOMAREK (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. and Mrs. Kurt L. Komarek

AM Modenapark 13 A-1030 Vienna, Austria

Jenet mach

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

25th day of October, 1974.

martho unaro



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655

MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

Albany, New York October 25, 1974

Mr. and Mrs. Kurt L. Komarek AM Modenapark 13 A-1030 Vienna, Austria

DATED:

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Komarek:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

c: Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

KURT L. KOMAREK and MADELEINE KOMAREK : DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

Petitioners, Kurt L. Komarek and Madeleine Komarek, have filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970. (File No. 0-69265830). A formal hearing on the petition was scheduled before Honorable A. Bruce Manley, Commissioner, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Building #9, State Campus, Albany, New York, for November 19, 1973, at 11:45 A.M. On October 30, 1973, the petitioners, in writing, waived a formal hearing and submitted the case to the State Tax Commission upon the entire record contained in the file. The State Tax Commission renders the following decision after due consideration of said record.

ISSUES

- I. Was petitioners, Kurt L. Komarek and Madeleine Komarek, resident individuals of New York State during the year 1970?
- II. Was \$1,417.00 received by petitioners from International Business Machines Corporation properly excluded from adjusted gross income as moving expenses?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, Kurt L. Komarek and Madeleine Komarek, filed a New York State income tax resident return for the period from June 29, 1970 to September 25, 1970. They reported as total

New York income on said return, the salary income received by petitioner, Kurt L. Komarek, from International Business Machines Corporation (hereinafter referred to as IBM) from June 29, 1970 to September 25, 1970. They omitted from total New York income all of their additional income earned in Austria. Petitioners also deducted as moving expenses, \$1,417.00, which was paid to them by IBM.

- 2. On October 30, 1972, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Kurt L. Komarek and Madeleine Komarek, imposing New York State personal income tax upon all of their income for the year 1970, upon the grounds that they were residents of New York State during the entire year. The Income Tax Bureau also disallowed the deduction for moving expenses, and accordingly issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$606.77. They conceded that the sum of \$1,417.00 was not deductible as a moving expense, but contended that it was deductible as a business traveling expense.
- 3. Petitioner, Kurt L. Komarek, is an Austrian citizen and a professor at the University of Vienna. Prior to 1966, he taught at New York University. He took a leave of absence in 1966 to go to Vienna, where he has remained.
- 4. Petitioner, Kurt L. Komarek, held a summer faculty position with IBM Research at Yorktown Heights, New York, from June 29, 1970 to September 25, 1970. He spent more than 30 days within the state in the year in question.
- 5. Petitioner, Kurt L. Komarek, failed to submit any documentary or substantial evidence to show what percentage of the sum of \$1,417.00 paid him by IBM for traveling expenses was for his personal travel and what percentage was for his family.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That petitioners, Kurt L. Komarek and Madeleine Komarek, were domiciled in New York State for the entire year of 1970.
- B. That since petitioners, Kurt L. Komarek and Madeleine Komarek were domiciled in New York State during the entire year of 1970, and spent more than 30 days in New York State during said year, therefore, they were resident individuals within the meaning and intent of section 605(a)(1) of the Tax Law.
- C. That petitioners, Kurt L. Komarek and Madeleine Komarek, failed to substantiate alleged deductions for business travel expenses for the year 1970, within the meaning and intent of section 162(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, since they did not submit documentary or substantial evidence to support their claim and accordingly such deductions were properly disallowed by the Income Tax Bureau and the amounts properly included in total New York income.
- D. That the petition of Kurt L. Komarek and Madeleine Komarek is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued October 30, 1972, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York October 25, 1974 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER